Application Number: 10/084,715 Attorney Docket: US020013

Response to Office Action of November 28, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: John Zimmerman Examiner: Clyde H. Jones III

Serial No.: 10/084,715 Art Unit: 2623

Filing Date: February 25, 2002 | Confirmation No.: 6622

For: Method and apparatus for an adaptive Attorney Docket No.: US020013

audio-video program recommendation system

January 26, 2007

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated November 28, 2006, and made Final. In such Office Action, it was stated that the declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.131 filed on September 11, 2006, was ineffective to overcome the Bates et al. reference (U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0145321). Furthermore, the prior art rejections to Bates alone and in combination with Percy et al. (U.S. Patent 4,646,145) or Reynolds et al. (EP 0774866) were maintained and the Office Action was made Final.

Applicant again respectfully traverses all rejections based on Bates et al. '321 and requests that the finality of the Office Action dated November 28, 2006, be <u>withdrawn</u> in view of the new Declaration under 37 C.F.R. §1.131 filed herewith ("the 131 Declaration"). The Bates et al. '321 reference has an effective date of January 31, 2002 ("the Effective Date"). The 131 Declaration provides evidence of conception of the present invention prior to the Effective Date, and the 131 Declaration in combination with an additional Declaration by Aaron Waxler (prosecuting attorney that filed the original application on February 25, 2002) provided herewith provide evidence of diligence from a date prior to the Effective Date to a constructive reduction

Application Number: 10/084,715 Attorney Docket: US020013

Response to Office Action of November 28, 2006

to practice (i.e., the filing of the patent application). Furthermore, the 131 Declaration indicates that the invention was established in this country.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that Bates et al. '321 is not a valid prior art reference, and all prior art rejections based on Bates et al '321 should be withdrawn. Passage of this case to allowance is earnestly solicited.

Any fee due with this paper, not already paid through an EFS-Web filing, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-3894. Any overpayment may be credited to Deposit Account No. 50-3894.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS

By: Harris A. Wolin, Reg. No. 39,432

Attorney for the Applicant

Please Address All Correspondence to:

Yan Glickberg, Registration No. 51,742

Phone: (914) 333-9618

CUSTOMER NUMBER 24737